1 2 3 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 MODESTO DIVISION 6 7 8 Case No. 07-90558-A-13GIn re 9 JAMES BATEMAN, Docket Control No. MCB-3 10 Date: August 27, 2007 11 Debtor. Time: 2:00 p.m. 12 13 On August 27, 2007 at 2:00 p.m., the court considered the objection to confirmation of Praytel Comm., Inc., to the debtor's 14 proposed plan. The court's ruling on the objection is appended to the minutes of the hearing. Because that ruling constitutes a 15 "reasoned explanation" of the court's decision, it is also posted on the court's Internet site, <u>www.caeb.uscourts.gov</u>, in a text-searchable format as required by the E-Government Act of 2002. 16 The official record, however, remains the ruling appended to the

FINAL RULING

This objection to the confirmation of the plan will be dismissed without prejudice.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

minutes of the hearing.

This case was filed on May 29, 2007. Therefore, a proposed plan should have been filed no later than June 13, 2007. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3015(b). It was filed late on July 13, 2007. Because a plan was not filed timely by the debtor, the trustee was unable to serve the plan with the notice of commencement of case as required by General Order 05-03, \P 3(a)(1). This means that it is incumbent on the debtor to serve the proposed plan and a motion to confirm it on all parties in interest. See General

Order 05-03, $\P\P$ 3(a)(2) & 8(a). A review of the docket reveals that the debtor has not yet filed, noticed and set for a hearing a motion to confirm the plan. Unless and until the debtor does file, serve, and set for hearing, there is no plan before the court for confirmation. Hence, there is nothing for Praytel to object to.

If and when the debtor files a motion to confirm a plan, the debtor must give Praytel, and all other parties in interest, at least 25 days of notice of the deadline for objections to confirmation as well as of the confirmation hearing. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b). This court requires that parties in interest file written objections to confirmation 14 days prior to the hearing on a motion to confirm a plan. See General Order 05-03, $\P\P$ 3(a)(2) & 8(a) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). Therefore, to give the notice required by Rule 2002(b) will require the debtor to give 39 days of notice of the confirmation hearing. This notice will also afford parties in interest with 25 days notice of the deadline to file opposition - 14 days prior to the confirmation hearing. When Praytel receives this notice, it may object to the plan. The court will not consider an objection to a plan until it is offered to the court for confirmation.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28